

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		T		-	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/904,516	07/16/2001	Nathalie Mougin	P 0281573 B00/2208 US	2271	
909	7590 06/27/2002				
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP		,	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10: MCLEAN, V.			WILLIS, MICHAEL A		
MCLEAN, V.	A 22102				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002		
				•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>r</i>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/904,516	MOUGIN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Michael A. Willis	1617				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	h correspond nc ad	dress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed) days will be considered timel from the mailing date of this c ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	lulv 2001 .					
2a)□	_ <u>_</u>	is action is non-final.					
3)□							
	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application						
C	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)∐	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or eion Papers	election requirement.					
· · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
. • , 🗀	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, .					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appli	cation No				
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen		- p.1011., a.1ao. do 0.0.0. 33					
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🗌 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No mal Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/904,516

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11 and 13-15, drawn to polyurethanes of formula (I) and compositions thereof, classified in class 514, subclass 788.
 - II. Claim 12, drawn to method of using a polyurethane as a thickener, classified in class 514, subclass 788.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process of using the polyurethanes of formula (I) as thickeners can be practiced with a variety of polyurethanes, for example those disclosed by Emmons et al (US Pat. 4,079,028) which teaches the use of polyurethane thickeners in aqueous systems.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The additional search for the subject matter of Group II constitutes a serious burden for the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,516

Art Unit: 1617

4. Groups I and II are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising polyurethanes of formula (I). The vast number of species encompassed by the claims constitutes a serious burden on the Examiner. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species and the claims readable thereon, even though this requirement is traversed. By single species is meant a single polymer. The polymer can be identified by the following: 1) by naming the polymer according to IUPAC standards, 2) by pictorial representation, 3) by identifying all of the variables of formula (I), or 4) by identifying an example or claim that sets forth a single polymer.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Willis whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Application/Control Number: 09/904,516

Art Unit: 1617

1679. The examiner can normally be reached on alternate Mon. and Tues. to Fri. from 9am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Michael A. Willis

Page 4

Examiner Art Unit 1617

June 25, 2002

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER